arXiv:1509.07714v1 [cs.IT] 25 Sep 2015

CYCLIC CODES FROM THE FIRST CLASS TWO-PRIME WHITEMAN'S GENERALIZED CYCLOTOMIC SEQUENCE WITH ORDER 6

PRAMOD KUMAR KEWAT AND PRITI KUMARI

Abstract. Binary Whiteman's cyclotomic sequences of orders 2 and 4 have a number of good randomness properties. In this paper, we compute the autocorrelation values and linear complexity of the first class two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence (WGCS-I) of order $d = 6$. Our results show that the autocorrelation values of this sequence is four-valued or five-valued if $(n_1-1)(n_2-1)/36$ is even or odd respectively, where n_1 and n_2 are two distinct odd primes and their linear complexity is quite good. We employ the two-prime WGCS-I of order 6 to construct several classes of cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ with length n_1n_2. We also obtain the lower bounds on the minimum distance of these cyclic codes.

1. Introduction

Let q be a power of a prime p. An $[n,k,d]$ linear code C over a finite field $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ is a $k-$dimensional subspace of the vector space $\mathrm{GF}(q)^n$ with the minimum distance d. A linear code C is a cyclic code if the cyclic shift of a codeword in C is again a codeword in C, i.e., if $(c_0,\cdots,c_{n-1}) \in C$ then $(c_{n-1},c_0\cdots,c_{n-2}) \in C$. Let $\gcd(n,q) = 1$. We consider the univariate polynomial ring $\mathrm{GF}(q)[x]$ and the ideal $I = \langle x^n-1\rangle$ of $\mathrm{GF}(q)[x]$. We denote by R the ring $\mathrm{GF}(q)[x]/I$. We can consider a cyclic code of length n over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ as an ideal in R via the following correspondence

$$\mathrm{GF}(q)^n \to R, \qquad (c_0,c_1,\cdots,c_{n-1}) \mapsto c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}.$$

Then, a linear code C over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ is a cyclic code if and only if C is an ideal of R. Since R is a principal ideal ring, if C is not trivial, there exists a unique monic polynomial $g(x)$ dividing x^n-1 in $\mathrm{GF}(q)[x]$ and $C = \langle g(x)\rangle$. The polynomials $g(x)$ and $h(x) = (x^n-1)/g(x)$ are called the generator polynomial and the parity-check polynomial of C respectively. If the dimension of the code C is k, the generator polynomial has degree $n-k$. An $[n,k,d]$ cyclic code C is capable of encoding $q-$ary messages of length k and requires $n-k$ redundancy symbols.

The total number of cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ and their construction are closely related to the cyclotomic cosets modulo n. One way to construct cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ with length n is to use the generator polynomial

$$\frac{x^n-1}{\gcd(x^n-1,S(x))}, \tag{1}$$

where $S(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_ix^i \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]$ and $s^\infty = (s_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of period n over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. The cyclic code C_s generated by the polynomial in Eq.(1) is called the cyclic code defined by the sequence s^∞, and the sequence s^∞ is called the defining sequence of the cyclic code C_s.

Cyclic codes have been studied in a series of papers and a lot of progress have been accomplished (see, for example [2], [8], [9], [14] and [16]). The Whiteman's generalized cyclotomy was introduced by Whiteman and its properties were studied in [17]. The two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence(WGCS) was introduced by Ding [5] and its coding properties were studied in [7] and [15]. Ding [7] and Sun et al.[15] constructed number of classes of cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ with length product of

---

Key words and phrases. Cyclic codes, finite fields, cyclotomic sequences, autocorrelation.

two-distict primes from the two-prime WGCS of order 2 and 4 respectively and gave the lower bounds on the minimum weight of these cyclic codes under certain conditions. After that Kewat et.al [12] constructed number of classes of cyclic codes over GF(q) with length n from the second class two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence (WGCS-II) of order 6. In this paper, we employ the first class two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence (WGCS-I) of order 6 to construct number of classes of cyclic codes over GF(q).

The autocorrelation values of generalized cyclotomic sequences of order 2, 4 and 6 have been studied in ([6],[11], and [18]). It has been shown that the autocorrelation values of the generalized cyclotomic sequence of order two are quite good when $|q-p|$ is small enough. In case of order 4 and 6, the results show that the autocorrelation values are low provided that the parameters are chosen carefully. First, we discuss about the autocorrelation properties of the two-prime WGCS-I. Binary sequences with low correlation values have wide applications in stream cipher, software testing, communication systems, radar navigation and other fields. In this correspondence, we calculate the exact autocorrelation values of the two-prime WGCS-I. The linear complexity of generalized cyclotomic sequences of length product of two-distict primes has been calculated by Ding [5] and Bai [1]. We also calculate the exact value of the linear complexity of this sequence without any special requirements on the primes. We employ the two-prime WGCS-I with order 6 to construct several classes of cyclic codes over GF(q). We also obtain the lower bounds on the minimum weight of these cyclic codes.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Linear complexity and minimal polynomial.** If $(s_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence over a finite field GF(q) and $f(x)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in GF(q) given by $f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{L-1}x^{L-1}$, then we define $f(E)s_j = c_0s_j + c_1s_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{L-1}s_{j-L+1}$, where E is a left shift operator defined by $Es_i = s_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$. Let s^n be a sequence $s_0s_1\cdots s_{n-1}$ of length n over a finite field GF(q). For a finite sequence, the n is finite; for a semi-infinite sequence, the n is ∞. A polynomial $f(x) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]$ of degree $\leqslant l$ with $c_0 \neq 0$ is called a characteristic polynomial of the sequence s^n if $f(E)s_j = 0$ for all j with $j \geq l$. For every characteristic polynomial there is a least $l \geq \deg(f)$ such that the above equation hold. The smallest l is called the associate recurrence length of $f(x)$ with respect to the sequence s^n. The characteristic polynomial with smallest length is known as minimal polynomial of the sequence s^n and the associated recurrence length is called the linear span or linear complexity of the sequence s^n.
If a semi-infinite sequence s^∞ is periodic, then its minimal polynomial is unique if $c_0 = 1$. The linear complexity of a periodic sequence is equal to the degree of its minimal polynomial. For the periodic sequences, there are few ways to determine their linear spans and minimal polynomials. One of them is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. [13] *Let s^∞ be a sequence of a period n over GF(q). Define*

$$S^n(x) = s_0 + s_1x + \cdots + s_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x].$$

Then the minimal polynomial m_s of s^∞ is given by

$$\frac{x^n - 1}{\gcd(x^n - 1, S^n(x))}.$$

Consequently, the linear span L_s of s^∞ is given by

$$L_s = n - deg(\gcd(x^n - 1, S^n(x))).$$

2.2. **The Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequences and its construction.** Let n be a positive integer. An integer a is called a primitive root of modulo n if the multiplicative order of a modulo n, denoted by $\mathrm{ord}_n(a)$, is equal to $\phi(n)$, where $\phi(n)$ is the Euler phi function and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Let n_1 and n_2 be two distinct odd primes, define $n = n_1n_2,\ d = \gcd(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$ and $e = (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)/d$.

From the Chinese Remainder theorem, there are common primitive roots of both n_1 and n_2. Let g be a fixed common primitive root of both n_1 and n_2. Let u be an integer satisfying

$$u \equiv g \pmod{n_1},\ u \equiv 1 \pmod{n_2}. \tag{2}$$

Whiteman [17] proved that

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{g^s u^i : s = 0, 1, \cdots, e-1;\ i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, d-1\}.$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ denotes the set of all invertible elements of the residue class ring $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and e is the order of g modulo n. The Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic classes W_i of order d are defined by

$$W_i = \{g^s u^i \pmod{n} : s = 0, 1, \cdots, e-1\}, i = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1.$$

The classes W_i, $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ give a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \cup_{i=0}^{d-1} W_i,\ W_i \cap W_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
Let

$$P = \{n_1, 2n_1, 3n_1, \cdots, (n_2-1)n_1\},\ Q = \{n_2, 2n_2, 3n_2, \cdots, (n_1-1)n_2\},$$

$$C_0 = \{0\} \cup Q \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} W_{2i} \ and\ \ C_1 = P \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} W_{2i+1},$$

$$C_0^* = \{0\} \cup Q \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} W_i,\ C_1^* = P \cup \bigcup_{i=\frac{d}{2}}^{d-1} W_i.$$

It is easy to see that if $d > 2$, then $C_0 \neq C_0^*$ and $C_1 \neq C_1^*$. Now we introduce two kinds of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequences of order d (see [3]).

Definition. *The two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence* $\lambda^\infty = (\lambda_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ *of order* d *and period* n*, which is called the first class two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence denoted by WGCS-I, is defined by*

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in C_0 \\ 1, & \text{if } i \in C_1. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence $s^\infty = (s_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ *of order* d *and period* n*, which is called the second class two-prime Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence denoted by WGCS-II, is defined by*

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in C_0^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i \in C_1^*. \end{cases}$$

The sets C_1 and $C_1^* \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ are called the characteristic sets of the sequences λ^∞ and s^∞ respectively, and the sequences λ_i and s_i are referred as the characteristic sequences of C_1 and C_1^* respectively.

The cyclotomic numbers corresponding to these cyclotomic classes are defined as

$$(i, j)_d = |(W_i + 1) \cap W_j|, where\ 0 \leq i, j \leq d-1.$$

Additionally, for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we define

$$d(i, j; t) = |(W_i + t) \cap W_j|,$$

where $W_i + t = \{w + t | w \in W_i\}$.

2.3. **Properties of Whiteman's cyclotomy of order d.** In this subsection, we summarize number of properties of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomy of order $d = \gcd(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$. The proof of the following Lemma follows from the Theorem 4.4.6 of [4].

Lemma 2. *Let the notations be same as before and $t \neq 0$. We have*

$$d(i,j;t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{d^2}, & i \neq j,\ t \in P \cup Q \\ \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1-d)}{d^2}, & i = j,\ t \in P,\ t \notin Q \\ \frac{(n_1-1-d)(n_2-1)}{d^2}, & i = j,\ t \in Q,\ t \notin P \\ (i',j')_d \ for\ some\ (i',j'), & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. *Let the symbols be defined as before. The following four statements are equivalent:*
(1) $-1 \in W_{\frac{d}{2}}$.
(2) $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{d^2}$ *is even.*
(3) One of the following sets of equations are satisfied:
$\begin{cases} n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2d} \\ n_2 \equiv d+1 \pmod{2d}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} n_1 \equiv d+1 \pmod{2d} \\ n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2d}. \end{cases}$
(4) $n_1 n_2 \equiv d+1 \pmod{2d}$.

Proof. (1) ⇔ (2) The result follows from (2.3) in [17].
(2) ⇒ (3) Let $n_1 - 1 = df,\ n_2 - 1 = df'$ and $e = dff'$, where f and f' are integer. Since $\gcd(f, f') = 1,\ f$ and f' can not both be even. Here $ff' = \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{d^2}$ is even. So, f or f' is even. Let f is even and f' is odd. If f is even, then $n_1 - 1 = d(2k_1)$, where k_1 is an integer. Therefore, $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2d}$. If f' is odd, then $n_1 - 1 = d(2k_2 + 1)$, where k_2 is an integer. Therefore, $n_2 \equiv d + 1 \pmod{2d}$. Similarly, when f is odd and f' is even. We get $n_1 \equiv d + 1 \pmod{2d}$ and $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2d}$.
(3) ⇒ (2) and (3) ⇒ (4) are obvious.
(4) ⇒ (3) Since $\gcd(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) = d$, let $n_1 - 1 = fd$ and $n_2 - 1 = f'd$. We have $n_1 n_2 \equiv d + 1 \pmod{2d}$, this gives $fd + f'd \equiv d \pmod{2d}$. Thus, we have $f + f' = 2k + 1$ for an integer k. So, $n_1 = 2kd + (1 - f')d + 1$, this gives $n_1 \equiv (1 - f')d + 1 \pmod{2d}$. If f' is odd, then $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2d}$ and $n_2 \equiv d + 1 \pmod{2d}$. If f' is even, then $n_1 \equiv d + 1 \pmod{2d}$ and $n_2 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\ 2d)$. □

Lemma 4. *Let the symbols be defined as before. The following four statements are equivalent:*
(1) $-1 \in W_0$.
(2) $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{d^2}$ *is odd.*
(3) The following set of equation is satisfied:
$\begin{cases} n_1 \equiv d+1 \pmod{2d} \\ n_2 \equiv d+1 \pmod{2d}, \end{cases}$
(4) $n_1 n_2 \equiv (d+1)^2 = 1 \pmod{2d}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the above Lemma. □

2.4. **Properties of Whiteman's cyclotomy of order 6.** We recall the following lemmas (Lemma 1 and Lemma 2) from [10].

Lemma 5. *Let* $\gcd(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) = 6$, *i.e.,* $n_1 \equiv 1 \bmod 6,\ n_2 \equiv 1 \bmod 6$. *Let a, b, x, y, c and d are integers. There are 10 possible different cyclotomic numbers of order 6 and they are given by the following relations:*

If $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}$ *is odd, we have*

$(0,0)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 32 + 6a - 24x + 2c)$,
$(0,1)_6 = (1,0)_6 = (5,5)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 + a + 3b + 8x + 24y - c + 9d)$,
$(0,2)_6 = (2,0)_6 = (4,4)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 - 3a + 9b - c - 9d)$,
$(0,3)_6 = (3,0)_6 = (3,3)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 - 2a + 8x + 2c)$,
$(0,4)_6 = (4,0)_6 = (2,2)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 - 3a - c - 9b + 9d)$,
$(0,5)_6 = (5,0)_6 = (1,1)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 + a - 3b + 8x - 24y - c - 9d)$,
$(1,2)_6 = (2,1)_6 = (4,5)_6 = (5,4)_6 = (5,1)_6 = (1,5)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 - 2a - 4x + 2c)$,
$(1,3)_6 = (2,5)_6 = (3,1)_6 = (3,4)_6 = (4,3)_6 = (5,2)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 + a + 3b - 4x - 12y - c + 9d)$,
$(1,4)_6 = (2,3)_6 = (3,2)_6 = (3,5)_6 = (4,1)_6 = (5,3)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 + a - 3b - 4x + 12y - c - 9d)$ and
$(2,4)_6 = (4,2)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 + 6a + 12x + 2c)$.

If $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}$ *is even, we have*

$(0,0)_6 = (3,0)_6 = (3,3)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 20 - 8x - 2a + 2c)$,
$(0,1)_6 = (2,5)_6 = (4,3)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 - 3a - 9b - c + 9d)$,
$(0,2)_6 = (1,4)_6 = (5,3)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 - 8x + a - c + 24y - 3b - 9d)$,
$(0,3)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 + 24x + 6a + 2c)$,
$(0,4)_6 = (1,3)_6 = (5,2)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 - 8x + a - c - 24y + 3b + 9d)$,
$(0,5)_6 = (2,3)_6 = (4,1)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 - 3a - c + 9b - 9d)$,
$(1,0)_6 = (2,2)_6 = (3,1)_6 = (3,4)_6 = (4,0)_6 = (5,5)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 + 4x + a - c + 12y + 3b + 9d)$,
$(1,1)_6 = (2,0)_6 = (3,2)_6 = (3,5)_6 = (4,4)_6 = (5,0)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M + 8 + 4x + a - c - 12y - 3b - 9d)$,
$(1,2)_6 = (1,5)_6 = (2,4)_6 = (4,2)_6 = (5,1)_6 = (5,4)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 + 4x - 2a + 2c)$ and
$(2,1)_6 = (4,5)_6 = \frac{1}{72}(12M - 4 + 6a - 12x + 2c)$.

Where $n_1n_2 = x^2 + 3y^2, M = \frac{1}{6}((n_1-2)(n_2-2)-1)$ *and* $4n_1n_2 = a^2 + 3b^2 = c^2 + 27d^2$.

Lemma 6. [17] *Define* $\eta = \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}$. *Let symbols be same as before. Then*

$$-1 \in \begin{cases} W_0, & \text{if } \eta \textit{ is odd} \\ W_3, & \text{if } \eta \textit{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

3. Autocorrelation values

In this section, we will calculate the autocorrelation values of two-prime WGCS-I. Let the symbols be the same as before. The periodic autocorrelation function of the binary sequence λ^∞ of period n is defined by

$$C_\lambda(w) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{\lambda_{i+w}+\lambda_i},$$

where $0 \leqslant w \leqslant n-1$. We define,
$d_\lambda(i,j;w) = |C_i \cap (C_j + w)|, \quad 0 < w \leqslant n-1,\ i,j = 0,1.$

Lemma 7. [6] *Let* λ_i *be the characteristic sequence of* $C_1 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. *Then we have*

$$C_\lambda(w) = 1 - 4\frac{d_\lambda(1,0;w)}{n},$$

where $0 < w \leqslant n-1$.

The following formula will be needed in the sequel:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda(1,0;w) &= |C_1 \cap (C_0 + w)| \\ &= |(P \cup W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((R \cup Q \cup W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| \\ &= |(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| + |P \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| \\ &+ |(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((R \cup Q) + w)| + |P \cap ((R \cup Q) + w)|. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

To calculate the value of $d_\lambda(1,0;w)$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 8. *For each $w \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, we have*
(i) If $(n_1-1)(n_2-1)/36$ is even, then

$$|(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| = \begin{cases} (3M-1)/2, & if\ w \in W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4 \\ (3M+1)/2, & if\ w \in W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $(n_1-1)(n_2-1)/36$ is odd, then

$$|(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| = \begin{cases} 3M/2, & if\ w \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We know that $|(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| = |W_1 \cap (W_0 + w)| + |W_3 \cap (W_0 + w)| + |W_5 \cap (W_0 + w)| + |W_1 \cap (W_2 + w)| + |W_3 \cap (W_2 + w)| + |W_5 \cap (W_2 + w)| + |W_1 \cap (W_4 + w)| + |W_3 \cap (W_4 + w)| + |W_5 \cap (W_4 + w)|$.
From Lemma 8 in [18], we have the following results:

$$\text{(a)} \quad |W_1 \cap (W_0 + w)| = \begin{cases} (0,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (5,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (4,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (3,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (2,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (1,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases} \qquad \text{(b)} \quad |W_3 \cap (W_0 + w)| = \begin{cases} (0,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (5,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (4,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (3,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (2,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (1,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(c)} \quad |W_5 \cap (W_0 + w)| = \begin{cases} (0,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (5,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (4,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (3,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (2,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (1,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases} \qquad \text{(d)} \quad |W_1 \cap (W_2 + w)| = \begin{cases} (2,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (1,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (0,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (5,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (4,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (3,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(e)} \quad |W_3 \cap (W_2 + w)| = \begin{cases} (2,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (1,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (0,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (5,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (4,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (3,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases} \qquad \text{(f)} \quad |W_5 \cap (W_2 + w)| = \begin{cases} (2,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (1,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (0,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (5,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (4,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (3,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(g)} \quad |W_1 \cap (W_4 + w)| = \begin{cases} (4,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (3,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (2,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (1,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (0,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (5,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases} \qquad \text{(h)} \quad |W_3 \cap (W_4 + w)| = \begin{cases} (4,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (3,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (2,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (1,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (0,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (5,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(i)} \quad |W_5 \cap (W_4 + w)| = \begin{cases} (4,5)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_0 \\ (3,4)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_1 \\ (2,3)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_2 \\ (1,2)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_3 \\ (0,1)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_4 \\ (5,0)_6, & if\ \ w \in W_5. \end{cases}$$

From the above discussion and using Lemma 5, we get the value of $|(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)|$. □

Lemma 9. *Let the notations be same as before.*
(i) *If* $(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)/36$ *is even, then*

$$|P \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| = \begin{cases} 0, & if \quad w \in P \\ (n_2 - 1)/2, & if \quad w \in Q \cup W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4 \\ (n_2 - 1)/2 - 1, & if \quad w \in W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If* $(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)/36$ *is odd, then*

$$|P \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| = \begin{cases} 0, & if \quad w \in P \\ (n_2 - 1)/2, & if \quad w \in Q \cup W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5 \\ (n_2 - 1)/2 - 1, & if \quad w \in W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have $|P \cap ((W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4) + w)| = |P \cap (W_0 + w)| + |P \cap (W_2 + w)| + |P \cap (W_4 + w)|$ and $|(P \cup R) \cap (W_i + w)| = |P \cap (W_i + w)| + |R \cap (W_i + w)|$. By Lemma 3 and Corollary 1 of [18], we have

$$|(P \cup R) \cap (W_i + w)| = \begin{cases} 0, & if \quad w \in P \cup R \\ \frac{n_2-1}{6}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

and

$$|R \cap (W_i + w)| = \begin{cases} 1, & if \quad w \in W_i \text{ and } (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)/36 \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & if \quad w \in W_{i+3} \text{ and } (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)/36 \text{ is even} \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the Lemma follows from the above discussion. □

Lemma 10. *Let* $w \in \mathbb{Z}_n$*, then we have*

$$|(W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5) \cap ((R \cup Q) + w)| = \begin{cases} 0, & if \quad w \in Q \cup R \\ \frac{n_1-1}{2}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 of [6], we have

$$|W_i \cap ((R \cup Q) + w)| = \begin{cases} 0, & if \quad w \in Q \cup R \\ \frac{n_1-1}{6}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

This implies the lemma. □

We recall the following Lemma from [6].

Lemma 11. [6, Lemma 8] *If* $w \in \mathbb{Z}_n$*, then we have*

$$|P \cap ((Q \cup R) + w)| = \begin{cases} 1, & if \quad w \in P \\ 0, & if \quad w \in Q \\ 1, & if \quad w \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*. \end{cases}$$

The following theorems compute the autocorrelation values of two-prime WGCS-I.

Theorem 1. *Let* $(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)/36$ *be even. Then*

$$C_\lambda(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{n_2-n_1-3}{n}, & if \quad w \in P \\ \frac{n_1-n_2+1}{n}, & if \quad w \in Q \\ -\frac{1}{n}, & if \quad w \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Substituting the values from Lemma 8-11 in Eq.(4), we obtain

$$d_\lambda(1,0;w)=\begin{cases} 9\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}+0+\frac{n_1-1}{2}+1, & if\ \ w\in P\\ 9\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}+\frac{n_2-1}{2}+0+0, & if\ \ w\in Q\\ \frac{(n_1-2)(n_2-2)-3}{4}+\frac{n_2-1}{2}+\frac{n_1-1}{2}+1, & if\ \ w\in W_0\cup W_2\cup W_4\\ \frac{(n_1-2)(n_2-2)+1}{4}+\frac{n_2-1}{2}-1+\frac{n_1-1}{2}+1, & if\ \ w\in W_1\cup W_3\cup W_5. \end{cases}$$

$$=\begin{cases} \frac{n_1n_2+n_1-n_2+3}{4}, & if\ \ w\in P\\ \frac{n_1n_2-n_1+n_2-1}{4}, & if\ \ w\in Q\\ \frac{n_1n_2+1}{4}, & if\ \ w\in \mathbb{Z}_n. \end{cases}$$

We get the value of $C_\lambda(w)$ by putting the value of $d_\lambda(1,0;w)$ in Lemma 7. □

Theorem 2. *Let* $(n_1-1)(n_2-1)/36$ *be odd. Then*

$$C_\lambda(w)=\begin{cases} \frac{n_2-n_1-3}{n}, & if\ \ w\in P\\ \frac{n_1+1-n_2}{n}, & if\ \ w\in Q\\ \frac{1}{n}, & if\ \ w\in W_0\cup W_2\cup W_4\\ -\frac{3}{n}, & if\ \ w\in W_1\cup W_3\cup W_5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the prove of Theorem 1. □

By Theorem 1, the autocorrelation values $C_\lambda(w)$ is four-valued if $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}$ is even and by Theorem 2, $C_\lambda(w)$ is five-valued if $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}$ is odd for any $|n_2-n_1|$ multiple of 6.

Now we employ the sequence λ^∞ (defined in Eq.(3)) to construct cyclic codes over GF(q).

4. A CLASS OF CYCLIC CODES OVER GF(q) DEFINED BY TWO-PRIME WGCS-I

We have $\gcd(n,q)=1$. Let m be the order of q modulo n. Then the field GF(q^m) has a primitive *nth* root of unity β. We define

$$\Lambda(x)=\sum_{i\in C_1}x^i=\left(\sum_{i\in P}+\sum_{i\in W_1}+\sum_{i\in W_3}+\sum_{i\in W_5}\right)x^i\in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x], \tag{5}$$

Our main aim in this section is to find the generator polynomial

$$g_\lambda(x)=\frac{x^n-1}{\gcd(x^n-1,\Lambda(x))}$$

of the cyclic code C_λ defined by the sequence λ^∞. To compute the parameters of the cyclic code C_λ defined by the sequence λ^∞, we need to compute $\gcd(x^n-1,\Lambda(x))$. Since β is a primitive nth root of unity, we need only to find such t's that $\Lambda(\beta^t)=0$, where $0\le t\le n-1$. To this end, we need number of auxiliary results. We have

$$0=\beta^n-1=(\beta^{n_1})^{n_2}-1=(\beta^{n_1}-1)(1+\beta^{n_1}+\beta^{2n_1}+\cdots+\beta^{(n_2-1)n_1}).$$

It follows that

$$\beta^{n_1}+\beta^{2n_1}+\cdots+\beta^{(n_2-1)n_1}=-1, i.e., \sum_{i\in P}\beta^i=-1. \tag{6}$$

By symmetry we get

$$\beta^{n_2}+\beta^{2n_2}+\cdots+\beta^{(n_1-1)n_2}=-1, i.e., \sum_{i\in Q}\beta^i=-1. \tag{7}$$

Lemma 12. *Let the symbols be same as before. For* $0\le j\le 5$, *we have*

$$\sum_{i\in W_j}\beta^{it}=\begin{cases} -\frac{n_1-1}{6}\pmod p, & \text{if } t\in P\\ -\frac{n_2-1}{6}\pmod p, & \text{if } t\in Q. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $t \in Q$. Since g is a common primitive roots of n_1 and n_2 and the order of g modulo n is e, by the definition of u, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_j \bmod n_1 &= \{g^s u^j \bmod n_1 : \; s = 0,1,2,\cdots,e-1\} \\ &= \{g^{s+j} \bmod n_1 : \; s = 0,1,2,\cdots,e-1\} \\ &= \frac{n_2-1}{6} * \{1,2,\cdots,n_1-1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{n_2-1}{6}$ denotes the multiplicity of each element in the set $\{1,2,\cdots,n_1-1\}$. We can write $g^s x^j$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &1+k_{11}n_1, 1+k_{12}n_1, \cdots, 1+k_{1(n_2-1)/6}n_1, \\ &2+k_{21}n_1, 2+k_{22}n_1, \cdots, 2+k_{2(n_2-1)/6}n_1, \\ &\vdots \\ &n_1-1+k_{(n_1-1)1}n_1, n_1-1+k_{(n_1-1)2}n_1, \cdots, n_1-1+k_{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)/6}n_1. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where k_{li} is an positive integer, $1 \le l \le n_1-1$ and $1 \le i \le (n_2-1)/6$. Since s ranges over $\{0,1,\cdots,e-1\}$, we divides the set W_j into $(n_2-1)/6$ subsets each of which contains n_1-1 consecutive integers, i.e., $g^{s+j} \bmod n_1$ takes on each element of $\{1,2,\cdots,n_1-1\}$ exactly $\frac{n_2-1}{6}$ times. From Eq.(8), it follows that if $t \in Q$, we have $\beta^{(m+k_{li}n_1)t} = \beta^{mt}$, where $1 \le m \le n_1-1$. It follows from Eq.(7) that

$$\sum_{i \in W_j} \beta^{it} = \left(\frac{n_2-1}{6}\right) \sum_{j \in Q} \beta^j = -\frac{n_2-1}{6} \pmod p.$$

For $t \in P$, we can get the result by similar argument. □

Lemma 13. *For any $r \in W_i$, we have $rW_j = W_{(i+j) (\mathrm{mod}\ d)}$, where $rW_j = \{rt \mid t \in W_j\}$.*

Proof. We have $W_i = \{g^s u^i : s = 0,1,2,\cdots,e-1\}, i = 0,1,\cdots,d-1$ and let $r = g^{s_1}u^i \in W_i$. Then $rW_j = g^{s_1}u^i\{u^j, g^1u^j, \cdots, g^{e-1}u^j\} = \{g^{s_1}u^{i+j}, g^{s_1+1}u^{i+j}, \cdots, g^{s_1+e-1}u^{i+j}\}$. Since $u \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, there must exist an integer υ with $0 \leqslant \upsilon \leqslant e-1$ such that $u^d = g^\upsilon$, therefore, we must have $rW_j = W_{(i+j)(\mathrm{mod}\ d)}$. □

Lemma 14. *Let $D_0 = W_0 \cup W_2 \cup W_4$ and $D_1 = W_1 \cup W_3 \cup W_5$ and rest of the symbols be same as before. For all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we have*

$$\Lambda(\beta^t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n_1+1}{2} \pmod p, & \text{if } t \in P \\ \frac{n_2-1}{2} \pmod p, & \text{if } t \in Q \\ \Lambda(\beta), & \text{if } t \in D_0 \\ -(\Lambda(\beta)+1), & \text{if } t \in D_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\gcd(n_1,n_2) = 1$, we have $tP = P$ if $t \in P$. By Eqs.(5), (6) and Lemma 12, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\beta^t) = \sum_{i \in C_1} \beta^{ti} &= \left(\sum_{i \in P} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5}\right) \beta^{ti} \\ &= (-1 \bmod p) - \left(\frac{n_1-1}{6} \bmod p\right) - \left(\frac{n_1-1}{6} \bmod p\right) - \left(\frac{n_1-1}{6} \bmod p\right) \\ &= -\frac{n_1+1}{2} \bmod p. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \in Q$, then $tP = 0$. By Eqs.(5), (6) and Lemma 12, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\beta^t) = \sum_{i \in C_1} \beta^{ti} &= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5}\right)\beta^{ti} \\
&= (n_2 - 1 \bmod p) - \left(\frac{n_2-1}{6} \bmod p\right) - \left(\frac{n_2-1}{6} \bmod p\right) - \left(\frac{n_2-1}{6} \bmod p\right) \\
&= \frac{n_2-1}{2} \bmod p.
\end{aligned}$$

If $t \in D_0$, we have three cases:
Case I: Let $t \in W_0$, then by Lemma 13, we have $tW_i = W_i$. Since $\gcd(t, n_2) = 1$, we have $tP = P$ if $t \in W_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\beta^t) = \sum_{i \in C_1} \beta^{ti} &= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5}\right)\beta^{ti} \\
&= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5}\right)\beta^{i} \\
&= \Lambda(\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Case II: Let $t \in W_2$, then by Lemma 13, we have $tW_i = W_{(i+2)(\bmod 6)}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 5$. Since $\gcd(t, n_2) = 1$, we have $tP = P$ if $t \in W_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\beta^t) = \sum_{i \in C_1} \beta^{ti} &= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5}\right)\beta^{ti} \\
&= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5} + \sum_{i \in W_1}\right)\beta^{i} \\
&= \Lambda(\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Case III: Let $t \in W_4$, then by Lemma 13, we have $tW_i = W_{(i+4)(\bmod 6)}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 5$. Since $\gcd(t, n_2) = 1$, we have $tP = P$ if $t \in W_4$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\beta^t) = \sum_{i \in C_1} \beta^{ti} &= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3} + \sum_{i \in W_5}\right)\beta^{ti} \\
&= \left(\sum_{i\in P} + \sum_{i \in W_5} + \sum_{i \in W_1} + \sum_{i \in W_3}\right)\beta^{i} \\
&= \Lambda(\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $t \in D_1$, we have three cases:
Case I: Let $t \in W_1$ then by Lemma 13, we have $tW_i = W_{i+1 \ (\bmod 6)}$. Since $\gcd(t, n_2) = 1$, we have $tP = P$ if $t \in W_1$. We have $\beta^n - 1 = (\beta - 1)(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta^i) = 0$ and $\beta - 1 \neq 0$, this give $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta^i = 0$. Therefore, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta^i = 1 + \sum_{i \in P} \beta^i + \sum_{i \in Q} \beta^i + \sum_{i \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{5} W_j} \beta^i = 0$. From Eqs.(6) and (7), we get

$$\sum_{i \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{5} W_j} \beta^i = 1. \tag{9}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\beta^t) = \sum_{i\in C_1}\beta^{ti} &= \left(\sum_{i\in P}+\sum_{i\in W_1}+\sum_{i\in W_3}+\sum_{i\in W_5}\right)\beta^{ti}\\ &= \left(\sum_{i\in P}+\sum_{i\in W_2}+\sum_{i\in W_4}+\sum_{i\in W_0}\right)\beta^{i}\\ &= \left(\sum_{i\in P}-\sum_{i\in W_1}-\sum_{i\in W_3}-\sum_{i\in W_5}\right)\beta^{i}+1\\ &= \left(-\sum_{i\in P}-\sum_{i\in W_1}-\sum_{i\in W_3}-\sum_{i\in W_5}\right)\beta^{i}+2\sum_{i\in P}\beta^i+1\end{aligned}$$

From Eq.(6), we know that $\sum_{i\in P}\beta^i=-1$ and by the definition of $\Lambda(\beta)$, we have $\Lambda(\beta^t)=-(\Lambda(\beta)+1)$. Similarly, we can prove other two cases namely, Case II : $t\in W_3$ and Case III : $t\in W_5$. □

Lemma 15. *If $q\in D_0$, we have $\Lambda(\beta)\in \mathrm{GF}(q)$ and $(\Lambda(\beta))^q=\Lambda(\beta)$. If $q\in D_1$, we have $\Lambda(\beta)^q=-(\Lambda(\beta)+1)$.*

Proof. We have $\gcd(n,q)=1,$ i.e., $q\in\mathbb{Z}_n^*$, then $q\in\bigcup_{i=1}^{5}W_i=D_0\cup D_1$. If $q\in D_0$, by Lemma 14, we have $(\Lambda(\beta))^q=\Lambda(\beta^q)=\Lambda(\beta)$. So, $\Lambda(\beta)\in\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Similarly, if $q\in D_1$, from Lemma 14, the result follows. □

Lemma 16. *If $n_1n_2\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, we have*

$$\Lambda(\beta)(\Lambda(\beta)+1)=\frac{n-1}{4}.$$

If $n_1n_2\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$, we have

$$\Lambda(\beta)(\Lambda(\beta)+1)=-\frac{n+1}{4}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\Lambda(\beta)=-1+\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i+\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\beta)(\Lambda(\beta)+1)=&-\left(\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i+\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right)+\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i+j}+\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i+j}+\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i+j}\\ &+2\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i+j}+2\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i+j}+2\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i+j}.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Let $n_1n_2\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ from Lemma 4, $-1\in W_0$ and from Lemma 13, $-W_j=\{-t:t\in W_j\}=W_j$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i+j}=\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i-j}\\ &=|W_1|+\sum_{r\in P\cup Q}d(1,1;r)\beta^r+(1,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+(0,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+(5,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+(4,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\\ &\quad+(3,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+(2,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i+j}=\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i-j}\\&=|W_3|+\sum_{r\in P\cup Q}d(3,3;r)\beta^r+(3,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+(2,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+(1,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+(0,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\\&\quad+(5,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+(4,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i+j}=\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i-j}\\&=|W_5|+\sum_{r\in P\cup Q}d(5,5;r)\beta^r+(5,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+(4,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+(3,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+(2,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\\&\quad+(1,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+(0,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i,\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&2\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i+j}=2\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i-j}\\&=2\left(\sum_{r\in P\cup Q}d(3,1;r)\beta^r+(3,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+(2,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+(1,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+(0,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\right.\\&\quad\left.+(5,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+(4,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right),\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&2\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i+j}=2\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i-j}\\&=2\left(\sum_{r\in P\cup Q}d(5,3;r)\beta^r+(5,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+(4,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+(3,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+(2,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\right.\\&\quad\left.+(1,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+(0,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right),\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&2\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i+j}=2\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i-j}\\&=2\left(\sum_{r\in P\cup Q}d(1,5;r)\beta^r+(1,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+(0,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+(5,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+(4,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\right.\\&\quad\left.+(3,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+(2,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right),\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Substituting the values of Eqs.(11)-(16) into Eq.(10) and then from Lemma 2 and 5, and Eq.(9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\beta)(\Lambda(\beta)+1) = &-\left(\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i+\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i+\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right)+\left(\frac{3M}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i+\left(\frac{3M}{2}+1\right)\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i\\ &+\left(\frac{3M}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i+\left(\frac{3M}{2}+1\right)\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i+\left(\frac{3M}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i+\left(\frac{3M}{2}+1\right)\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\\ &-12\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}-3\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-7)}{36}-3\frac{(n_1-7)(n_2-1)}{36}+3\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{6}\\ &=\frac{n-1}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

It completes the first part of the Lemma.
Now suppose that $n_1n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. By Lemma 3, $-1 \in W_3$ and from Lemma 13, $-W_j = \{-t : t \in W_j\} = W_{(j+3) (\mathrm{mod}\ 6)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i+j} = \sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_4}\beta^{i-j}\\ &= \sum_{r\in P\cup Q} d(4,1;r)\beta^r + (4,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + (3,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + (2,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + (1,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\\ &\quad + (0,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i + (5,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i, \end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i+j} = \sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_0}\beta^{i-j}\\ &= \sum_{r\in P\cup Q} d(0,3;r)\beta^r + (0,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + (5,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + (4,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + (3,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\\ &\quad + (2,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i + (1,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i, \end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i+j} = \sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_2}\beta^{i-j}\\ &= \sum_{r\in P\cup Q} d(2,5;r)\beta^r + (2,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + (1,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + (0,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + (5,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\\ &\quad + (4,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i + (3,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i, \end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&2\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_3}\beta^{i+j} = 2\sum_{i\in W_1}\sum_{j\in W_0}\beta^{i-j}\\ &= 2\left(\sum_{r\in P\cup Q} d(0,1;r)\beta^r + (0,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + (5,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + (4,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + (3,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\right.\\ &\quad \left.+(2,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i + (1,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right), \end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_5}\beta^{i+j} &= 2\sum_{i\in W_3}\sum_{j\in W_2}\beta^{i-j}\\ &= 2\left(\sum_{r\in P\cup Q} d(2,3;r)\beta^r + (2,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + (1,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + (0,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + (5,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\right.\\ &\quad\left. +(4,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i + (3,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right), \end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_1}\beta^{i+j} &= 2\sum_{i\in W_5}\sum_{j\in W_4}\beta^{i-j}\\ &= 2\left(\sum_{r\in P\cup Q} d(4,5;r)\beta^r + (4,5)_6\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + (3,4)_6\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + (2,3)_6\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + (1,2)_6\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i\right.\\ &\quad\left. +(0,1)_6\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i + (5,0)_6\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right), \end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Substituting the value from Eqs.(17) - (22) into Eq.(10) and then from Lemma 2 and 5, and Eq.(9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\beta)(\Lambda(\beta)+1) &= -\left(\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i + \sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i + \sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i\right) + \left(\frac{3M}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_0}\beta^i + \left(\frac{3M}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_1}\beta^i\\ &\quad + \left(\frac{3M}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_2}\beta^i + \left(\frac{3M}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_3}\beta^i + \left(\frac{3M}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_4}\beta^i\\ &\quad + \left(\frac{3M}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sum_{i\in W_5}\beta^i - 18\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{36}\\ &= -\frac{n+1}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Note that

$$\Lambda(1) = \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2-1)}{2} \pmod{p}. \tag{23}$$

It is elementary to prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 17. *If p is an odd prime, then*

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & if \quad p\equiv 1 \pmod{24} \ or \ p\equiv 7 \pmod{24}\\ -1, & if \quad p\equiv 13 \pmod{24} \ or \ p\equiv 19 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 18. *If $n\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n+1}{4}\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n-1}{4}\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $q \pmod{n} \in D_0$.*

Proof. First, we prove that if $n\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n+1}{4}\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $q \pmod{n}\in D_0$. Clearly, D_0 is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Hence, D_0 is a multiplicative group. Since q is a power of p, it is sufficient to prove that $p\in D_0$. Suppose on the contrary that $p\in D_1$. We first consider the case for $p=2$. Let $2\in D_1$. By the definition of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic classes, $2=u^s g^i, 0\leqslant i\leqslant e-1$ and s is odd. From (2), we have

$$2\equiv g^{s+i} \pmod{n_1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad 2\equiv g^i \pmod{n_2}.$$

Therefore, 2 must be a quadratic residue (non residue, respectively) modulo n_1 if it is a quadratic non residue (residue, respectively) modulo n_2.
For $p = 2$, if $\frac{n+1}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then 8 divides n_1n_2+1. Since $\gcd(n_1-1, n_2-1) = 6$, it is easy to check that we have only the following four possibilities:

$$\begin{cases} n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \\ n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{24} \\ n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} n_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{24} \\ n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{24} \\ n_2 \equiv 13 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 17, it follows that none of the above four possibilities are possible. This gives a contradiction therefore $2 \in D_0$.
Let p be an odd prime. Suppose on the contrary that $p \in D_1$. By the definition, $p = u^s g^i, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant e-1$ and s is odd. We have

$$p \equiv g^{s+i} \pmod{n_1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad p \equiv g^i \pmod{n_2}.$$

Since s is odd, then we must have

$$\left(\frac{p}{n_1}\right)\left(\frac{p}{n_2}\right) = -1, \tag{24}$$

where $(-)$ is the Legendre symbol. If $n \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$, by Lemma 3, $(n_1+n_2)/2$ is even. If $\frac{n+1}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $n = n_1n_2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. By the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity,

$$\left(\frac{p}{n_i}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n_i-1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{n_i}{p}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{p}{n_1}\right)\left(\frac{p}{n_2}\right) &= (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n_1+n_2-2}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n_1+n_2-2}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{n_1n_2}{p}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n_1+n_2-2}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is contrary to Eq.(24). Thus, $p \in D_0$. Similarly, we prove that if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n-1}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $q \pmod{n} \in D_0$. □

We need to discuss the factorization of $x^n - 1$ over GF(q). Let β be the same as before. Define for each i; $0 \leq i \leq 5$,

$$\omega_i(x) = \prod_{j \in W_i} (x - \beta^j),$$

where W_i denote the Whiteman's cyclotomic classes of order 6. Among the nth roots of unity β^i, where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the n_2 elements $\beta^i, i \in P \cup \{0\}$, are n_2th roots of unity and the n_1 elements $\beta^i, i \in Q \cup \{0\}$, are n_1th roots of unity. Hence,

$$x^{n_2} - 1 = \prod_{i \in P \cup \{0\}} (x - \beta^i)$$

and

$$x^{n_1}-1=\prod_{i\in Q\cup\{0\}}(x-\beta^i).$$

Then we have $x^n-1=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x-\beta^i)=\frac{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)}{x-1}\omega(x)$, where $\omega(x)=\prod_{i=0}^{5}\omega_i(x)$. Also, it can be written as $x^n-1=\frac{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)}{x-1}d_0(x)d_1(x)$, where $d_0(x)=\prod_{i\in D_0}(x-\beta^i)$ and $d_1(x)=\prod_{i\in D_1}(x-\beta^i)$. It is straightforward to prove that if $q\in D_0$, then $d_i(x)\in \mathrm{GF}(q)$ for all i.

Now we are ready to compute the generator polynomial and the linear complexity of the sequence λ^∞ (defined in Eq.(3)). For this, let $\triangle_1=\frac{n_1+1}{2} \pmod p$, $\triangle_2=\frac{n_2-1}{2} \pmod p$ and $\triangle=\frac{(n_1+1)(n_2-1)}{2} \pmod p$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. (1) *When* $n\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ *and* $\frac{n+1}{4}\not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ *or* $n\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ *and* $\frac{n-1}{4}\not\equiv 0 \pmod p$, *then the generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *and the linear span* L_λ *of the sequence* λ^∞ *(defined in Eq.(3)) are given by*

$$g_\lambda(x)=\begin{cases} x^n-1, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle\neq 0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x-1}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle= 0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_2-1}}, & \text{if } \triangle_1= 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_1-1}}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2= 0\\ \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1-1})(x^{n_2}-1)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1=\triangle_2= 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_\lambda(x)=\begin{cases} n, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle\neq 0\\ n-1, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle= 0\\ n-n_2, & \text{if } \triangle_1= 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0\\ n-n_1, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2= 0\\ n-(n_1+n_2-1), & \text{if } \triangle_1=\triangle_2= 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the two-prime WGCS-I of order 6 has generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above and parameters* $[n,k,d]$, *where the dimension* $k=n-\deg(g_\lambda(x))$. (2) *When* $n\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ *and* $\frac{n+1}{4}\equiv 0 \pmod p$ *or* $n\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ *and* $\frac{n-1}{4}\equiv 0 \pmod p$, *then the generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *and the linear span* L_λ *of the sequence* λ^∞ *are given by*

$$g_\lambda(x)=\begin{cases} \frac{x^n-1}{d_0(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle\neq 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{d_1(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle\neq 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ \frac{x^n-1}{(x-1)d_0(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle= 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{(x-1)d_1(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle= 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_2-1}d_0(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1= 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_2-1}d_1(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1= 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_1-1}d_0(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2= 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=0\\ \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_1-1}d_1(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2= 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1-1})(x^{n_2}-1)d_0(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1=\triangle_2= 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=0\\ \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1-1})(x^{n_2}-1)d_1(x)}, & \text{if } \triangle_1=\triangle_2= 0,\ \Lambda(\beta)=-1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_\lambda(x)=\begin{cases} n-\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{2}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle\neq 0, \Lambda(\beta)=0 \text{ or } \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ n-\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)+2}{2}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0,\ \triangle= 0, \Lambda(\beta)=0 \text{ or } \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ n-\frac{(n_1+1)(n_2-1)+2}{2}, & \text{if } \triangle_1= 0,\ \triangle_2\neq 0, \Lambda(\beta)=0 \text{ or } \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ n-\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2+1)+2}{2}, & \text{if } \triangle_1\neq 0,\ \triangle_2= 0, \Lambda(\beta)=0 \text{ or } \Lambda(\beta)=-1\\ n-\frac{(n_1+1)(n_2+1)-2}{2}, & \text{if } \triangle_1=\triangle_2= 0, \Lambda(\beta)=0 \text{ or } \Lambda(\beta)=-1. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $GF(q)$ *defined by the two-prime WGCS-I of order 6 has generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above and parameters* $[n, k, d]$*, where the dimension* $k = n - \deg(g_\lambda(x))$*.*

Proof. (1) When $n \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n+1}{4} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n-1}{4} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then by Lemma 16, we have $\Lambda(\beta) \neq 0, -1$. Therefore, from Lemma 14, $\Lambda(\beta^t) = 0$ only when t is in P or Q or both. So, the conclusion on the generator polynomial $g_\lambda(x)$ of cyclic code C_λ over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ defined by the sequence λ^∞ follows from Eq.(23) and Lemma 14. The linear span of the sequence λ^∞ is equal to $\deg(g_\lambda(x))$.
(2) When $n \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n+1}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and $\frac{n-1}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then by Lemma 16, we have $\Lambda(\beta) \in \{0, -1\}$ and $d_i(x) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]$ for each i if $q \in D_0$. So, the conclusion on the generator polynomial $g_\lambda(x)$ of cyclic code C_λ over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ defined by the sequence λ^∞ follows from Eq.(23), Lemma 18,15 and 14. The linear span of the sequence λ^∞ is equal to $\deg(g_\lambda(x))$. □

The following corollaries follows from Theorem 3, Lemma 16 and 18 and give the conclusions on the linear span and generator polynomial of the sequence λ^∞ (defined in Eq.(3)).

Corollary 1. *Let* $q = 2$*. We have the following conclusions:*
(1) *If* $n_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - 1.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, 1, n-1]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(2) *If* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{n_2} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - n_2.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, n_2, n_1]$ (*From Theorem* $4, d = n_1$) *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(3) *If* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 13 \pmod{24}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \frac{(x^n - 1)(x - 1)}{(x^{n_1} - 1(x^{n_2} - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - (n_1 + n_2 - 1).$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, n_1 + n_2 - 1, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(4) *If* $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) + 2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)+2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(5) *If* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_2}-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_2}-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1 + 1)(n_2 - 1) + 2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2-1)+2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(6) *If* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 13 \pmod{24}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) - 2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2+1)-2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*

If $q = 3$, then we have only one possibility: $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$.

Corollary 2. *Let* $q = 3$ *and* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2+1)+2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2+1)+2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*

Corollary 3. *Let* $q = 5$. *We have the following conclusions:*
(1) *If* $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 37 \pmod{60}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = x^n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, 0, 0]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(2) *If* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 49 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 49 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{n_2} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - n_2.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, n_2, n_1]$ (*From Theorem* $4, d = n_1$) *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(3) *If* $n_1 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 37 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{n_1} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - n_1.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, n_1, n_2]$ (*From Theorem* $4, d = n_2$) *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(4) *If* $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 49 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 37 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 37 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 37 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)}{d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)}{d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*
(5) *If* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 49 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 49 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_2}-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_2}-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2-1)+2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2-1)+2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*

(6) *If* $n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$, *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2+1)+2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2+1)+2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*

(7) *If* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$ *or* $n_1 \equiv 49 \pmod{60}$ *and* $n_2 \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$ *we have*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)d_0(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 0 \\ \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)d_1(x)}, & if \quad \Lambda(\beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\lambda = n - \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2+1)-2}{2}.$$

In this case, the cyclic code C_λ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *defined by the sequence* λ^∞ *has parameters* $[n, \frac{(n_1+1)(n_2+1)-2}{2}, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $g_\lambda(x)$ *as above.*

5. The minimum distance of the cyclic codes

In this section, we determine the lower bounds on the minimum distance of some of the cyclic codes of this paper.

Theorem 4. [7] *Let* C_i *denote the cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with the generator polynomial* $g_i(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_i}-1}$. *The cyclic code* C_i *has parameters* $[n, n_i, d_i]$, *where* $d_i = n_{i-(-1)^i}$ *and* $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 5. [7] *Let* $C_{(n_1,n_2,q)}$ *denote the cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with the generator polynomial* $g(x) = \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)}$. *The cyclic code* $C_{(n_1,n_2,q)}$ *has parameters* $[n, n_1+n_2-1, d_{(n_1,n_2,q)}]$, *where* $d_{(n_1,n_2,q)} = \min(n_1, n_2)$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that* $q \in D_0$. *Let* $C^{(i,j)}$ *denote the cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with the generator polynomial* $g^{(i,j)}(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{(x^{n_i}-1)d_j(x)}$ *and let* $d^{(i,j)}$ *denote the minimum distance of this code, where* $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *and* $j \in \{0, 1\}$. *The cyclic code* $C^{(i,j)}$ *has parameters* $[n, n_i + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{2}, d^{(i,j)}]$, *where* $d^{(i,j)} \geq \lceil \sqrt{n_{i-(-1)^i}} \rceil$.
If $-1 \in D_1$, *we have* $(d^{(i,j)})^2 - d^{(i,j)} + 1 \geq n_{i-(-1)^i}$.

Proof. Let $c(x) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n-1)$ be a codeword of Hamming weight ω in $C^{(i,j)}$. Take any $r \in D_1$. The cyclic code $c(x^r)$ is a codeword of Hamming weight ω in $C^{(i,(j+1) \bmod 2)}$. It then follows that $d^{(i,j)} = d^{(i,(j+1) \bmod 2)}$. Let $c(x) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n-1)$ be a codeword of minimum weight in $C^{(i,j)}$. Then $c(x^r)$ is a codeword of same weight in $C^{(i,(j+1) \bmod 2)}$. Hence, $c(x)c(x^r)$ is a codeword of C_i, where C_i denote the cyclic code over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ with the generator polynomial $g_i(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{x^{n_i}-1}$ and minimum distance $d_i = n_{i-(-1)^i}$. Hence, from Theorem 4, we have $(d^{(i,j)})^2 \geq d_i = n_{i-(-1)^i}$, and $(d^{(i,j)})^2 - d^{(i,j)} + 1 \geq n_{i-(-1)^i}$ if $-1 \in D_1$. □

Theorem 7. *Assume that* $q \in D_0$. *Let* $C^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$ *denote the cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with the generator polynomial* $g^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)}(x) = \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)d_j(x)}$ *and let* $d^{(j)}_{n_1,n_2}$ *denote the minimum distance of this code, where* $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *and* $j \in \{0, 1\}$. *The cyclic code* $C^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$ *has parameters* $[n, n_1 + n_2 - 1 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{2}, d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)}]$, *where* $d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)} \geq \lceil \sqrt{\min(n_1, n_2)} \rceil$.
If $-1 \in D_1$, *we have* $(d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)})^2 - d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)} + 1 \geq \min(n_1, n_2)$.

Proof. Let $c(x) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$ be a codeword of Hamming weight ω in $C^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$. Take any $r \in D_1$. The cyclic code $c(x^r)$ is a codeword of Hamming weight ω in $C^{((j+1) \bmod 2)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$. It then follows that $d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)} = d^{((j+1) \bmod 2)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$. Let $c(x) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$ be a codeword of minimum weight in $C^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$. Then $c(x^r)$ is a codeword of same weight in $C^{((j+1) \bmod 2)}_{(n_1,n_2)}$. Hence, $c(x)c(x^r)$ is a codeword of $C_{(n_1,n_2,q)}$, where $C_{(n_1,n_2,q)}$ denote the cyclic code over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ with the generator polynomial $g(x) = \frac{(x^n-1)(x-1)}{(x^{n_1}-1)(x^{n_2}-1)}$ and minimum distance $d_{(n_1,n_2,q)} = \min(n_1, n_2)$. Hence, from Theorem 5, we have $(d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)})^2 \geq d_{(n_1,n_2)^j} = \min(n_1, n_2)$, and $(d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)})^2 - d^{(j)}_{(n_1,n_2)} + 1 \geq \min(n_1, n_2)$ if $-1 \in D_1$. □

Example 1. *Let* $(p, m, n_1, n_2) = (2, 1, 7, 31)$. *Then* $q = 2$, $n = 217$ *and* C_λ *is a* $[217, 121]$ *cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with generator polynomial* $g(x) = \frac{x^{217}-1}{(x^{31}-1)d_1(x)} = x^{96}+x^{94}+x^{91}+x^{87}+x^{86}+x^{85}+x^{83}+x^{81}+x^{80}+x^{78}+x^{77}+x^{75}+x^{72}+x^{69}+x^{67}+x^{65}+x^{64}+x^{63}+x^{60}+x^{58}+x^{55}+x^{53}+x^{52}+x^{51}+x^{48}+x^{45}+x^{44}+x^{43}+x^{41}+x^{38}+x^{36}+x^{33}+x^{32}+x^{31}+x^{29}+x^{27}+x^{24}+x^{21}+x^{19}+x^{18}+x^{16}+x^{15}+x^{13}+x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{5}+x^{2}+1$. *We did some computation and our computation shows that upper bound on the minimum distance for this binary code is* 31. *From Theorem 6, we have the lower bound on the minimum distance for this binary code is* 3.

Example 2. *Let* $(p, m, n_1, n_2) = (2, 1, 7, 31)$. *Then* $q = 3$, $n = 217$ *and* C_λ *is a* $[217, 97]$ *cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with generator polynomial* $g(x) = \frac{x^{217}-1}{(x^{7}-1)d_1(x)} = x^{120} + 2x^{115} + x^{113} + 2x^{109} + 2x^{108} + x^{106} + x^{105} + x^{104} + 2x^{102} + 2x^{100} + x^{98} + 2x^{96} + x^{95} + x^{92} + x^{90} + x^{88} + 2x^{87} + 2x^{85} + x^{83} + 2x^{81} + x^{79} + x^{78} + 2x^{77} + x^{76} + 2x^{75} + 2x^{74} + 2x^{71} + 2x^{70} + x^{69} + x^{67} + 2x^{66} + 2x^{65} + x^{64} + 2x^{61} + x^{60} + 2x^{59} + x^{56} + 2x^{55} + 2x^{54} + x^{53} + x^{51} + 2x^{50} + 2x^{49} + 2x^{46} + 2x^{45} + x^{44} + 2x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + 2x^{39} + x^{37} + 2x^{35} + 2x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{30} + x^{28} + x^{25} + 2x^{24} + x^{22} + 2x^{20} + 2x^{18} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + 2x^{12} + 2x^{11} + x^{7} + 2x^{5} + 1$. *We did some computation and our computation shows that upper bound on the minimum distance for this ternary code is* 58. *From Theorem 6, we have the lower bound on the minimum distance for this binary code is* 6.

Example 3. *Let* $(p, m, n_1, n_2) = (2, 1, 7, 19)$. *Then* $q = 2$, $n = 133$ *and* C_λ *is a* $[133, 19, 7]$ *cyclic code over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *with generator polynomial* $g(x) = \frac{(x^{133}-1)}{(x^{19}-1)(x^{13}-1)} = x^{114} + x^{95} + x^{76} + x^{57} + x^{38} + x^{19} + 1$. *This is a bad cyclic code due to its poor minimum distance. The code in this case is bad because* $q \notin D_0$.

Conclusion. *In this paper, we have computed the autocorrelation values and linear complexities of the two-prime WGCS-I of order 6. We have also constructed the cyclic codes over* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ *of WGCS-I of order 6. The autocorrelation value is four-valued if* $n \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ *and is five-valued if* $n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ *for any* $|n_2 - n_1|$ *is multiple of* 6. *This two-prime WGCS-I of order 6 has low autocorrelation values. In the case* $\Lambda(\beta) \notin \{0, 1\}$, *the least value of linear complexity is* $n - (n_1 + n_2 - 1)$ *and in the case* $\Lambda(\beta) \in \{0, 1\}$, *the least value of linear complexity is* $n - \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{2} > \frac{n}{2}$. *Our results show that these sequence possesses large linear complexity.*

The cyclic codes employed in this paper depend on n_1, n_2 *and* q. *When* $q \in D_0$, *we get a good code. We expect that the codes in Examples* 1 *and* 2 *give good codes. When* $q \notin D_0$, *we get a bad code, for example, we get a bad code in Example* 3. *Finally, we expect that cyclic codes described in this paper can be employed to construct the good cyclic codes of large length.*

References

[1] E. Bai, X. Liu, and G. Xiao. Linear complexity of new generalized cyclotomic sequences of order two of length pq. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 51(5):1849–1853, 2005.

[2] E. Betti and M. Sala. A new bound for the minimum distance of a cyclic code from its defining set. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 52(8):3700–3706, 2006.

[3] Z. Chen and S. Li. Some notes on generalized cyclotomic sequences of length pq. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 23:843–850, 2008.

[4] T. Cusik, C. Ding, and A. Renvall. *Stream Ciphers and Number Theory*. North-Holland Mathematical Lib., North-Holland, 2003.
[5] C. Ding. Linear complexity of generalized cyclotomic binary sequences of order 2. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 3(2):159–174, 1997.
[6] C. Ding. Autocorrelation values of generalized cyclotomic sequences of order two. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(4):1699–1702, 1998.
[7] C. Ding. Cyclic codes from the two-prime sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 58(6):3881–3891, 2012.
[8] C. Ding, X. Du, and Z. Zhou. The bose and minimum distance of a class of BCH codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 61(5):2351–2356, 2015.
[9] M. Eupen and J. van Lint. On the minimum distance of ternary cyclic codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 39(2):409–416, 1993.
[10] X. Gong, B. Zhang, D. Feng, and T. Yan. Autocorrelation values of new generalized cyclotomic sequences of order six over $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. *Information Security and Cryptology - 9th International Conference, Inscrypt 2013*, 86–98, 2013.
[11] L. Hu and Q. YUE. Autocorrelation value of whiteman generalized cyclotomic sequence. *Journal of Mathematical Research with Application*, 32(4):415–222, 2012.
[12] P. K. Kewat and P. Kumari. Cyclic codes from the second class two-prime whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence with order 6. *CoRR*, abs/1507.05506, 2015.
[13] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite fields*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
[14] F. Macwilliams and N. Sloane. The theory of error correcting codes. *North-Holland Mathematical Lib., North-Holland*, 1977.
[15] Y. Sun, T. Yan, and H. Li. Cyclic code from the first class whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence with order 4. *CoRR*, abs/1303.6378, 2013.
[16] J. van Lint and R. Wilson. On the minimum distance of cyclic codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 32(1):23–40, 1986.
[17] A. L. Whiteman. A family of difference sets. *Illinois J. Math.*, 6:107–121, 1962.
[18] C. Zhao, W. Ma, T. Yan, and Y. Sun. Autocorrelation values of generalized cyclotomic sequences of order six. *IEICE Transactions*, 96-A(10):2045–2048, 2013.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad 826 004, India
E-mail address: `kewat.pk.am@ismdhanbad.ac.in, priti.jsr13@gmail.com`